

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.69698-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION:07.12.2006

Dinesh Narula

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present:- Shri Satinder Khanna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Shri N.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Petitioner Dinesh Narula apprehending his arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No. 132 dated 29.12.2005 under Sections 406/420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.8, Ludhiana has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for anticipatory bail.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that in the aforesaid case the main accused Vishal Mandal has already been granted anticipatory bail by Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana vide order dated 30.10.2006. Counsel further contends that as far as the petitioner is concerned, it has been alleged that he had issued 9 cheques for Rs.3,83,000/- and some of them have been subsequently dishonoured. In this regard, the complainant has already filed a complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act against the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner further contends that in view of the order dated 9.11.2006, the petitioner has joined the investigation. Counsel

for the respondent-State on instructions from Jagjit Singh ASI does not dispute this fact and further states that the petitioner is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim bail, granted vide order dated 9.11.2006 is made absolute subject to the same terms and conditions.

Disposed of accordingly.

December 07, 2006
pooja

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE